

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/332,846	06/14/1999	GEORGE W. LANDRY	MPS/30	3404	
26875	7590 08/27/2003				
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP 2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET			EXAMINER		
			ALVAREZ, RAQUEL		
	ΓI, OH 45202			<b>,</b>	
	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622		
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Α
	09/332,846	LANDRY, GEOR	≀GE W.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T 1
	Raquel Alvarez	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	iddres's
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	86(a). In no event, however, me within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered tim ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 N</u>	<u>1ay 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims			the merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-56 and 74-88</u> is/are pending in the	e application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-56 and 74-88</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	t.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	<del>-</del> .		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	<del>-</del> · ·	•	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Exami	ner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S	i.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: —			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	in Application No	
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(	a)).	ıl Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	al application).
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti</li> </ul>	• •		
Attachment(s)	-		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper N ce of Informal Patent Application (P r:	

Application/Control Number: 09/332,846 Page 2

Art Unit: 3622

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to communication filed on 5/5/03.

2. Claims 42-56 and 74-88 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 42-56, and 74-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lawlor in view of Hogan(5,699,528 hereinafter Hogan).

With respect to claims 42, 43, 46-49, 55, 74, 75, 78-81, 87 Lawlor teaches a bill paying system (Abstract); storage for payee information (Figures 2, 12-14and col. 20, lines 66-67; col. 33, lines 3-22); storage for payor information, the payor information identifying one or more payees authorized by the payor to receive transfers of funds from the payor, and including control parameters defining the manner in which transfers of funds are to be performed (col. 33, lines 3-7 and the customer pre-selects the bank account wherein the money can be transferred from)(figure 22).

With respect to electronically delivering the bill, since, Lawlor is a computerized billing system them it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included electronically delivering the bill because such a modification would speed the receiving of the bill; the date of the

Application/Control Number: 09/332,846

Art Unit: 3622

transfer for at least one bill being determined absent payor intervention based on at least one bill data for the bill, stored payor information and stored payee information, without regard to the due dates of other payees (i.e. Lawlor allows for periodic payments based on payor's information. The payor inputs the beginning date and the period based on the bill information(weekly, monthly...) for the bills and then the computer actually calculates the date for payment by itself, the calculation itself being done absent any intervention based on the beginning date and the period(see col. 46, lines 31+).

With respect to a payee communications interface communicating with a payee and receiving for use by the system, bill data electronically delivered from said payee to the bill paying system. Hogan teaches on Figure 1 and col. 4, lines 53-68 the electronic bill service company (EBSC) receiving the bill from the payees through a computer network. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included the teachings of Hogan of a payee communications interface communicating with a payee and receiving for use by the system, bill data electronically delivered from said payee to the bill paying system because such a modification would avoid the need for the user to provide the bill information (in Hogan col. 1, lines 65-, col. 2, lines 1-3).

With respect to generating an electronic transfer message when transferring funds from a payor to a payee. Hogan teaches a funds interface generating an electronic funds transfer messages to the payee and to the payor (col. 8, lines 33-62). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's

Page 4

invention to have sent an electronic transfer message to the consumer and/or merchant of Lawlor because such a modification would confirm the transaction to the parties involved.

With respect to claims 50 and 82, Lawlor further teaches that the payee is identified by an identifier (i.e. identifying a payee by name )(col. 10, lines 66-68).

Claims 51 and 83 further recite that the stored payor information includes a financial account number that corresponds to a government account. It is obvious and well known for payor to make payments to government's entity as part of a court order or the like. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included a financial account number that corresponds to a government account because such a modification would allow expansion of the system.

With respect to claims 52 and 84, Lawlor further teaches storage for bill records corresponding to generated electronic funds transfer messages (Figures 13-21); a payer communication interface presenting stored bill records to a payer so that a payer may review an account of fund transfer activity (Figures 13-21).

Claims 53-54 and 85-86 further recite receiving a reversal from a payer corresponding to a reverseable stored bill record and reversing the of transferring funds

Art Unit: 3622

that corresponds to the identified reverseable bill record if the reverse request it's received within the provisional time period.

Since, Lawlor teaches that the payor can review and revised previously paid bills (see Figure 17A) then it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included reversing the transfer of funds to reflect the reversal action.

With respect to allowing the reversal if it falls within the provisional time period. It is old and well known for companies to have a tentative period in which the customers can make changes or cancellations. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included allowing the reversal of the payments if it falls within the provisional time period because such a modification would allow better control of the transaction taken place.

With respect to claims 56 and 88, Lawlor further teaches modifying the payee information for the payee in response to the content of the payee control message (i.e. the information for the payee can be reviewed, revised and modified)(Figures 13-21).

Claims 44 and 76 further recite preventing transfer of funds which exceeds the maximum amount specified by the payor. Lawlor teaches that the payor sets the actual payments amounts and since it is old and well known in the computer related arts to have systems in which an error message is generated to let the user know that the system has encounter a problem and that it cannot proceed then it would have been

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have prevent transfer of funds if the amount does not correspond to the amount specified by the payor because such a modification would avoid unspecified transactions.

Claims 45 and 77 further recites preventing transfer of funds which exceeds the minimum date specified by the payor (i.e. the payor establishes the time frame of when the transfer can take place) therefore a minimum date is set of when the transfer of fund can be and can not be processed.

## Point of contact

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (703)305-0456. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric w Stamber can be reached on (703)305-8469. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

Raquel Alvarez /

Art Unit 3622

R.A.

8/20/03